The enumeration in the constitution of containing this shall met

be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

	Lyother John Chill
Greek:	dunamis meaning "power" is translated "virtue" or ability"
	poly 45 p 2 special is and
	The Spirit of the Land Eis Jupan Me, because He has anomited
	Me [the Anointed One, the Messich] to preach the goodnews
	(the Grospel) to the poor; He has sent Me to announce release?
	to the contines and recovery of sight to the blind to send forth
	as delivered those who are appressed tubo are downtradden,
- Constitution of the Cons	bruised, crushed, and broken down by calamity prote betieve
	To proclaim the accepted and accepterble year of the Lord
	Tthe day when salvation and the free favors of God proflusely
	abound 1.2 132 Luke 4:18, 492 Just work work to your
	Mark Converse V
	Where there is no vision, the people perish 22 Proxierbs 29:18
	S
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	"It do solemony of French that I will thank the the will the
<u> </u>	Office of President of Alice Winted States, and will to the best
	of my Ability, preserve, protect and defendative Constitution
	of the United States." North 3 offstol
	TOYANIST OF PUNCT
	There is an incommensurable Power, which we one obligated town
	recognize as limitless inspace and without beginning or ending
	time, and this Power is that which persists through all the changes
	in those sensible appearances under which the universepresents
<u> </u>	itself to us Cam Wel Flormand Michael 2 droid 1102 promot
	The hand which holds this pen is composed of eternal and indestructible
-	elements, and the atoms which constituted it existed in the solan A
	J. Camille Flanmantion also prompted to you of burtons on
And the second section of the s	22 de ambre Managara de 12 de
	The second secon

Prison administrators have always Lever the task of maintaining order and discipline in a prison. Some means of dealing with inmates who violate instructional rules and regulations are required lane such machs is the use of punitive isolation, which is isolation from the general population imposed as a penalty for violating institutional rules. Another means is administrative isolation, which is isolation from the general? population fearing reason other than punishment, such as protective isolation or isolation during an investigation of an alleged institutional vale virtetianor felany Due to the violent nature inherent in a poson environment including the widespread existence of prison gangs, prison systems are turning to the use of supermex prisions and statowide Hookdowns, Which I believe is not screethis couses thansons of inmates testograme appry at the loss of a visit for in the midelle of at collect phone not with a family number This is like baking sork transitione for the Thousened on how crimes are writing Habe committed which rouses a revolving down More programs and sproductive collectional services should be provided. Aciss to internat with restaintions. To dotain higher education such as the Hangala MIT program Ahanosexual male prisoner dictact raise a constitutional. issue when he alleged that prison officials denied himograp protection because they kept him in single occupancy. The prison of rack had legitamate penalogical reasons for doing so auch as safety and security. However, when a prisoner alleged that he suffered excessive administration secregation as Hiscipline without due process, he was entitled to show that his administrative secression andituted atypical and significant handship in relation to the ordinary incidents of prison they person deserves the right to be safe no matter their ego or sexual orientation. Theliave that homosexuels and invactes under 19 should have separate housing while's to ensure screety. However, another court held that

Page Z

the deprination of a combipillors, teath brush and touthpeste for seven to 10 days in a masomum-security cell with continuous lightly, q Row racehes and mice in the cell, and no reading meterial, did not constitute cricel and unusual punishment where the prosener was not devised the minimum necessities at fead, water, sleep, execcise, tailet Recilities scholhamen centach contrast that with Grift in V. Snith, in which the cructheld that conditions that might constitute infringements at civil rights of prisoners in a special housing unit included excessive and unnecessary use of facile by guards, grossly inachequate prevision Perepereise devial of access to psychological specialists, unsenterly Redutens lajinduding eigerette burns and heir an feed trays, partient smaller than those previous date the general prisoner pepulation or less of may I te the superintendent In 1985, The Supreme Ecostin son din to Conner severely recentiqued the anishysis to de termining whether a prisoner subjected to disciplinary or administrative confinent has a project colliberty interest that entitles him on her to the proceed well protections afferiled under the diseptoness clause, in so doors, the land rejected the enclysis established by Hewitt V. Helms and its property returning to the due process principals established in Walffy Marchinell and treacheum vitano Procento Sanding an alysis of whether a houty interest was protected would require an examinent an of the text of prison sundelines or regulations to determine whether mamelatory language that limited prison of Chariels discretion exected an enferceable expectation that the state would produce a particular outcome with respect to the prisoners conditions of confirement. The Sandin modernity rejected this test because it created disincentives for States to coulify prisan management procedures in the street of unisern tractions and because the approach lad to the mobinement of the feelers tiguets in the day to day management of passans ratter squarelying fuelicial

Page 3 re sources with little offsetting benefit to anyone. Supermex prisons are maximum-security facilities with highly restrictive conditions that are designed to segregate the most dangerous proseners from the several population. Their use has increased in recent years, in part as a response to the rise in prison goings and prison violence. Almost every aspect of a prisoners life to controlled and manitored Incurrention ina "supernier" is synany mores with extreme isolation. Opportunities Ar visitest on or reve and are conducted through glass well softriseners are deproved of almost any environmental or sensory stimuliabel of almost all human contact. Placement 15 fer an addefinite period limited only by a prisoners sentence Prisoners otherwise eligible for parale. may also lose the well sibility while incorrected in a superinger These anditions are not only by supermoup risions but also in local. and of the and dis to a use of Dick traident an imates classification men good the person is totally innocent This is extreenly oprossived A prisoner was placed in a behavioral modification program (BMP) for ander inflication Incestly after his arrayal in the "Supermax "Wisdonsins highest seconity on son, During the first five days under the BMP he was de proved of all clothing and bedding and us feld a ground up black of Accelite wis deviced all privileges, For the next seven days, he was allowed However a oleeveless omock but was still denied bedding and soap. The program coused him to become suitable and to inflict walness on himself. The prisoner was denied the uninimal civilized me course of life's be cessities required under the Eighth Amendment pight to Educat Predection. an appeal to the Supreme Court, the issue was the appropriate legal Hendard to be used by courts in determining the constitutionally of racial segregation in prison, not racial segregation itself (cours have found that the use of isolated confirment is a rabel nothed of penal administration However, federal courts will provide relief for depointion

of a prisoner's constitutional right to befree of excel and unusual punishment during his or her stery in a solated confirement when the conditions of the confinement become such that a prisoner is deprival at personal by sine and the facility or his or her diet are medequate the Eighth Amendment is vidated Inaddition; punishment that is imposed for an improper purpose or is dispropartionate to the offen se committed can violate the Fight Anendreak As the legal custodians of large numbers of new individing meny who are being confined fix crimes of white near confirmes thought but commit prison staffs are of ten ranforted with situations in which it is the ressery to use done against a prisoner or a group of prisoners Ferre, in this connection means any physical force directed toward another reather by direct physical pentect or by the use of a weapon such as tear gas, chemiscal mere, pobilly club on firecom Didelions that billy clubs and of des are in converse soon The controlling factual denonts are the degree of ferce used by the prisoner. He prisoners possession en non passession afa deadly weapon, the reasonable perocption on the part of the corrections Alle that be anothird person's malarger of deather serious I hadily horm, and the mosnes of fear & excitable to the office of when possessing the amount of serve that is legally parmissible, it is helpful to distinguish deadly force and nondeadly force "Deadly force" I make be defined as force that will likely couse death or serious boldily horm knives and firedoms are alluxys considered methorises of deadly forme Man deadly force is force that will normally acuse neither death nor serious loadily herm. The use of fists judo holds, chemical mace and tearges are excusples of nondead by Core, Employing contempethods of applying force county, in the abstract, be contesen real as either the use of already or mandeadly force. Certain factual dements at the ase primarily the area of the bady struck, must be constituted

For example, adow to the head from a billy dub is likely to rouse death ar serious backlify herm and thus must be reserred as the use of deadly force. However, a blow to the knoes would probably constitute non olcoelly force. I guess even if knee cop was shotterered aux society places great value on human life and on the right of Every Personte be free Com physical contact by another Consequently the use of force by one individual against another is frewned upon Fra this reason, force is permissible only when all hon-terre alternatives howe fairled I feet that we should also consider proson rape. I do not believe that the Prision Rape Blimination Act has been eccentive Uncertainties on teleurs were put to rest in Hudsan V. McMillian. Aprisoned testifical that the unincrebruises, fraich swelling places ened ter un and circled dental plate he suffered were the result of a beating to pour cott and officers the testified that the beating tedeplace while properties handcaffed and shadeled Dillowing an orgument of those of the afficers and that the supervisor and by watched the beating, but morely tild the afficers not to have too much fun I should strict cours found that the afflects used force when there was no med to do so, and that the supervisor expressly condined their actions. The cond awarded drivinges to the prisoner. The Caret of Appeals reversed, helding, chiere often things that prisurers alleging the use of excessive time providentian of the Eight Anadorest must prove significant miny" find that the proscrer in Huckin could not prevail because his injuries were "minor" and required no wedical attention the United States Supreme Court reversed. It hold that the use of excessive for excessive aptationer may constitute grad and unusual punishment even though the prisoner does not suffer serious injust Wenever prison officials are accessed of using excessive physical ferre constituting the moresary andwenter infliction of pain that violetes the cruel

Page 6 and unusual punishments clause, the core judicial inquiry is that set out in Whitley v. Albers "whether the ferce used was applied in a good faith effert to maintain or restere discipline, or maliciously and sadistically to cause herm. The extention of Whitley's application at the runne cessary and wanten infliction at pain" stundard to all allegations of ferre whether the prison disturbance is a rict or a lesser dismuption, worked no innevention of Hudson V McMillian clayified the stendards for determining whether Eighth Amondwent violations hove occurred wherever prison of Everices are comused of using excessive play sical force in violetion of the court and conusual punishivents clause, the core judical inquiry is whether Secures applied the good faith effort to mount incretorative discipline, ar maticiously and sadistically to couse helm. In Davidson V Flynn, correctional of Crows who needed to hard Buff a prisered be by transported to another prisery deliberately applied He handouths teatignthy miretalistion for his litigious ress It was held that the process constitution of rights were in iletally EVERY CERSON has the right to pridect him or herself against on assembly enotice. Prison officials may use force against a prisoner in He in our self-ole lense, Correctional officers, may more the degree of lone recountsly noice so any under the concumstances to prehict Himselves Soon the assault and to subdue the prosoners While prison afterials are appended brack discretion in maked compag order, they are not just field in worms any amount of leave when the threat of disorder has substitled the extent of such force depends apon the degree of sever being usual by the priscour, the officers recognable perception of minery , and the means of resisting the assoult. The lest of reganable force is whether the clame of since used is necessary whele the facts and circumstances of the particular ouse as illustrated

Page 7 by Attica Correctional Facility v. Bockefeller, Force may be used against a prisoner indefense of third persons, such as another prisoner, prison staff, or visiters. The law regarding the use of face to present tribary to third persons is similar to the rules regarding self-defense. A person is justified musing the degree of Some reasonably nesessary where the circumstances to protect the third party and to control the attacker, Prison officials may find themselves unclear duty to provide prisoners with reasonable prestection from constant threats of victorie what is reasonable under the circumstances again depends expon the degree of ferce being rocal by the attacker the persons reasonable estimate of injury to the third perty, and the means analyclole to the person tercontrol the attacker Deadly Ferre may beinged against the attention by the third party reasonably appears he indenser of death onse than significant the use of deadly force 15 to get research. It should not be a resort at all Privat officials have the duty senations imposed by office the prevent prisoners from committing comes market adetection for they Theretore, these of foriels have the providege of wish reasonable force to provent either a investment or a foliary Connectably a closely force andor employed to provent the commission of a felony but only after all other meansmass of bly woodable here feliled Examples of common felicies committed within a prison are not the and assent with a we apen upon another prisoner. Advacates of corporal punishment maintain that in order to enterce prison-discipline it is ne cessery to punish post offereles, hopfully deterring fature rule proportions. Housing the Keymital effects at such treatment may well -antwel sh any of His supposed benefits. The possible playcholdicial effects of Whhumane purishment have been described as follows; Methods at disciplibe have a professed effect on the offender

in regard to his mentel a social attitudes both within the preson and after release. This is particularly evident in the case of first oftenders, in whom permanent attitudes are after established which make for later social success or fer a continued life of coins The consequences of discipline are also grave inthis effect on the mented scrattions of afterders pleasing them of to into the so-called prisonheurosis "if unfarerable, or leading terronstructive modification of presencity if constructively administered States V. Common involved the constitutionality of a Delaware bour that prescribed whipping as a form of provishment to and specification is in is install the weekidity of whipping in light at the state constitution's ban or arel and unusual punishment, the court reasoned their because whipping had been permitted in the state some 1719, while other trans of punishment that heel formerly - token used such as burning at the stake that been eliminated by the State legistature, it must be presumd that whipping was not consideration et and unusual phinisting the people of Delaware Many change the court declared must com from the state legislature As for the Eight a Amendment to the United States Constitution, the gourt southet it could not find a single case as at the time in which concerns had held has a med throat federal and the transl law thet whippurs violated the Eighth Amendment State V. Convan was decided mil 963; in 1968, however, the United States Paret of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit held, on the case of Deckson v Bishop, that whappens as a mecha of enfercing prison discipline did violetetle Fight and Fauteenth Amadments The court stated that the applicable standards are plexible an and that broad and idealistic concepts of dignity, chilized standards, humanity and decency on useful and usable. Using these colveria

Page 9 12 por the court held whipping to be cruet and unusual purishment In another Virginia case, the equal pretection clause was violated when a prisoner was restrained with a five-point restraint der 48 hours because at his rece and his complaints about previous expressions of realism Hewis shown a drawnsor a person in a noise, apporently implying that he would be lynched . A correct tional othicers use of force that results in nothing more than de minimis physical so million som still vlolate the fighth Amendment sprobilog tion concrue land anusual purishment Detention in a penchinstitution necessite tes a untharaqual at Gell enjoyment of constitutional rights. But exactly which rights are completely terminated end which we interpred in usually under two rights not fector today morroration are votlen rite hed in a climinished form. These general statements en Mustrated by anonalysis of the prisoners specific night to use the semmon system to send cond reviewe reviews items sudjess letters, legel materials parks and magozines particles, southe aughtition wests proven in Congress to establish post of flores. This person has been wherpreteel bythe Sixprone Court as greating to congress and All W. S. Partal Service the exclusive right to use the mest system would thus seem dean Reading and inspecting of prisoner med se mes Hun purposes; (1) it provents contribud from being smugsled who for out of an institution; and (2) it encloses the prison outherities Headetrat plans for allegal activity money escape This such action, et host-lesinconing mail has anix er my been upheld by the courts However, the administrators refused to mail correspondence that does not contain and caband or details of allegal schemes has been subject to judicial orthisism Under the traditional view, described in the preceding section, such a refusel is a normally considered unraviousable. Under the hatate were extlute inco approach "prisen

Page 10 officials are judicially required to justify such actions. Thus, In Mc Namara V. Maady, prison officials were held to have violetill a prisoner's constitutional rights by refusing to mail a letter to the prisoner's girld riend. The court held that conscishing must be limiteel to concrete violations such as escept plans, plens for disruption of the prison system or work rective en plans fer imparting can rebard & prison bon on prisoners sending letters that complain of internal conditions in the institution Ho The press medic - radio television fend the press - restoicts First Amendment freedoms in the ways First, the prisoners right to speech is court coiled . Se construtte public's right to know? what is happening within the prison system, or tight that can only lor Gal Pilled through an informed press is restricted. The raids have generally given prison odministrations untettered discretion in reflecting to allow one prisoner to correspond with another prisoner The reason most attenginen teglastify such action is that the control at - presence mellis an administrative function in ashightle courts refuse to intervene Thus, in Schlobchmy United States Attorney General a feeleral district court held that a posser policy al prohibiting correspondence between prisoners of different. moditutions unspermissible. Terlourt steted that inded mite mail = restriction imposed as a punishment was a legitimate exercise of disciplinary power when a prisoner had wholeted existing prison mailregulations Prison wills do not form a benefic that seperates priseners from the protections of the Constitution, wer do they bear free citizens from exercising their own constitutional rights by reaching out to those on the inside Phisoners retain their Frest Amendment rights to communicate with family and friends Equets have determined that there is no light mate governmental purpose

Page (

to be achieved by not allowing reasonable access to the telephone, end such use is protected by the First Annenment, Prison administrators may place reasonable restrictions on mail, subject to the prisoners qualified right to use the mail system. Formerly, many federal causes refused to intervene in prisoner suits that alleged undue postriction of mail rights unless the prisoner alleged that restrictions infringed Jupen another federal right, such as the rights to free speech ends prossible tight to petition the gold inner For the rechession grievences the right to remnunicate without atterney, and the right to recieve magnification | Many state anstitutions and statutes ancourage tehologitation of prisoners. Such programs of constilered essential by wirthally all products it inconcerction is to reduce the incidence of commenter example, the American Confertion of Association in a possibility that prison series most effectively for the protection hotesociety against crame what it is major emphasis is on rehabilitation 1200 A commission appointed by President Lynden Johnson to study to the orine problem in the United States (percety) concluded that Trebatoria tection of aftenders to prevent the return to or me is in several the wood premisting toxy terealisive this enall reduction ot chima callection of inverced attors) Despite the war that rehologitation processes should be the core of any correctional systems the courts have refused to half that there is an abordine right to poholo bitestion during incorre ration) litigation in the area of 1 Are civil alisabilities of convicted felons, both incarcer ated and released, will continue to increase as the revolution in priscalew follows the prisoner beyond the prison well. It is don't that the Anuri ans with Discibilities Act applies to prisons and that prisoners withHIV infetions or AIDS are covered by the Act of The class defined as all present and future innates confrection joins operated by

Page 12 the New York City Department of Correction should include inmetes confined in Elmburst and Bellevue Prisica Words because they are subject to vidence, use of ferce, and being overmedocated. The Class alleges, umans other things, that DOC steff members use unanesessary and excessive ferre against innates that Duc supervisory personnel and the City of Dew York have a pology of thewingly permitting, terlerating, and encouraging this alleged unisconduct, and that this alleged misconduct widetes the Constitution and lower of the United States a bille laws at the State of New York and the Constitution of Rights of Prisonars. On Dorandor 23, 2014 , the Wastral State sof Arendes was permitted restanterventions a plantist in the Detican The United States Atterers Office facille Southern District of New Yell cosel the Deputement at I with terporticioner half conductive on investigation with the true real To the water prince of ander the age as 196" Young branches Dend is such abreto + that such tomether were being bubyerted to wor exective and ochelitions of pornermenental being a determed house withresises these when that and conductions ex continuent be more all ages and I aliged to some of the proposals of the proposed soft levent - Court Oversight evel Apparature Mexister: I Day eat testas preparat I believe that this is too much to fell an ones shoulder if rew price thes, appen policies, and procedures ere that executed property · Development at a New Use of Perce policy & I do agree to this proposed lour I abject the use of Dilly alubs · New Use of Tring Acalitar Position I do agree tes Alvis proposal but bould like to suggest that disposition becomes be reviewed by of Crumpsoner or De puty compsioner in use of ferce situations - Implementedion of a Pilot Program Dec Bedy-worm Coneras I klo agree to this proposal but I abject to screen screetional

Page 13 officers being assessed whether to continue or expanditleir use after one year. I believe the limitation should be 9 years so that the 10th year shows their seince ty and also per the screety at correctional officers and in motes. Arrest at muches I object to this proposal of an arrest at an involving use of ferce only if an investigator with the Correction Intelligence Bureau of the Department's Investigation Division has reviewed the instances and determined that the recommendation as besel an probable culesent betieve they there is a conflict of interest with correctional officers and investigators who special for the some comparetion to all and and and · Immates ender 19: The Settlement Action mot also mittales hum encus provisions specifically add ressing Young innertes, including specific requirements relating testing after and to supervision of loung lometer and restrictions on the visic of punitive regregation in coldition, DOC and the Major's Office of Criminal Justice will make best effects to identify another site not located on Rikers Island to house in maters under the age of 118. I doject Theliane that Everyone has the right to be safe in Denos no need to identify an alternitive site not located on Rikers Island is security missures are sens to strong up and that the proposal sofer ramenes prompractices, system policies and precedures are going to be executed on Rikers. Island the thots the rese homosexuls should also have the right to be safe / believe agreet solution ferthis problem of sefety for inmetes under 19 would be to part them in their own unit or building on Rike 15 Island with based rehabilitation and education programs so that they can be productive men in society. I be lieux that

Page 14 Mere rehabilited in and education for all men who are incorrected should be pursued. Not another jail for mostly minorities under 19 not recieving and education and being appressed by life's chromstances at enearly ase which can lead to suicidal thought a and mantal illness. Or any Sail for any person being appressed by lives circumstences end not reviewing proper rehabilitation. I went to see myself free and also other inmedes who may have if werse How menthed may west descrive to be in just for at least deserves a second cherce of the tester with semily and lovedones it usidal also like to see the correctional officers The who are also lacked aparth as and may be stores at by hites areamstances away day their lives are put conthe line . | Work il the tost 40 years correct and law was procedically moneroistent Primers were resorded as sloves of the State "Tretradition was that upon conviction at a felong, prisoners lest all of their chillinights. Access to the courts was denied and the treatment of prisoners was left to the uncontrolled Alsonation at the worden indeed, some motituations were in fact run by the priserers flows thes but it 19600 the courts hed adopted a housels after gettitude toward pristans. This is notonger tree. It is widely accepted that prisoners retain all at their constitutional rights upon entering the prison system that are not necessarily withdrawn by winter of prison security, disciplines and hocessity is short a prisoner takes the Constitution and the present to him It is impossible to totally resulte the operating and reaming of prison systems. The a over too many dissimilar events that occur on a daily basis Postitive haw must leave ream for resolving the rever ending is sure of the

Page 15 11 mil involved dealing with accorders. In access not subject to the chiecet rule of law lies administrative discretion. This can be as simple as a correctional officer ordering a prisoner to pick up a cigarette. to a werden ordering a complete lockdown the security recons. Decision making outside the perimeters of positive lew results in most of the litisation in convectional law. Decisions of prison administrators for a substential part of -represtignal litigation, Many prison administrators have brought the losel deluge upon the unselves a Book judgements iblates twicketiens at elementary principals at human dishity and incine concepts and applications of nineteenth-century justice by prison officials have made judicial intervention intate cometional process Inevitable. The adage" buch facts make back law "is of particular relevence in prison litigation Allaughlitigation per fecropy litigation, does prompt prison administrators tetaka articus and Institute medicate forms, there are many recorns why protrected With set conducte productive to the acrest and process. First due to liberalized redes of discovery on botherstore and federal ourts, prison administrators and thurselves indundated with depositions, interrogateries motions to produce and other fact Dinding nethers. ever weekpaled officials final themselves spending the bulk of the in time property for bigotico cotter then weeking on correctional issues. Second, mercle suffers wer prisoners the spurious dams, coloins for millions of dollars in damages for allaged mouries suffered 17 is common to sue afficials for millions of dollars. The claims are specious, but how an effect and profficials credit reting. Threl course I may not be available for correctional officials, except for these at the executive level and above. Even when provided, te interests of corrections administrators or puliticians

Page 16 in settling or lawsuit can conflict with the interests of en individual correctional official Finally, litigation, especially dess action litigation, tends to create the atmosphere of confrontation, creating a us versus them mentality In recognizing that prisons do not house the most docile or easily governed persons, courts will allow the use of reasonable force by prison officels in the situations: Self-defense, delense of third persons, enforcement at posson rules end progulations presentien of escape , and prevention et arive The test of recognobleness 12 whether the fere is resemble and necessery water the Pects and circumstences at the particular case of luneasenable carpoted principlinent to enforce prision discipling is considered enclosed musual peristion, prohibited by the Eighth Amadement Drison officals who attempt to bevive the used corporal punishment may find themselves Freng criminal and civil actions. To avoid oriminal a eivil lidoilly, prison officials should refrain from expectal punishment end seek aftern at he methods of prisoner control. Or just let us free JulitaREYTHIS positioner respect sully prove that and order be issued and taking into consideration the facts and agrument purrexed remperent, or, natorative, and order be issused granting pertial relief combeterer relief the Court sees and deems Fit and apprepriate to insure the snift and proper administration of pustice. Yours, etc. July 2000, 2005

Filed 09/01/15 Case 1:11-cv-05845-LTS-JCF Page 19 1 Halleck Street Branx, New York 10974 Mr. Joseph S. Cannon 3491503252 (BCA) ERS OF AYLOR SWAIN U.S.D.J. 880E8 74000 Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Towntouse 27 AUG 2015 PM 10 L 500 Pearl Street-New Korking Ms Laura Taylor Swain MEWYORK IN 100 10007-1312